

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,311	07/01/2003	Stefan Ehrler	09282.0043-00	3985
66668 7550 087292000 SAP / FINNEGAN, HENDERSON LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			SINGH, GURKANWALJIT	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/611,311 EHRLER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Gurkanwaliit Singh 3624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 March 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6.7.35-41 and 47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,35-41 and 47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/03) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Hotolize of informal Patent Application 6) Other:
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This non-final Office action is in response to applicant's communication received on March 06, 2009, wherein claims 1-4, 6-7, 35-41 and 47 are currently pending. Claim 5 remains cancelled. Claims 8-34, and 42-46 have been withdrawn due to restriction requirement as stated in the previous actions. Claims 1, 35, 39, 41, and 47 have been amended.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 06, 2009 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-7, 35-41 and 47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/611,311 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-2, 35, 41, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-2, 35, 41, and 47 state "determining a priority value...by providing priority categories." However, neither the claims nor the specification discloses what a "priority category/categories" is/are and how "priority categories" determine a priority value.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4, 6-7, 35-41 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brodersen et al., (U.S. 2002/0029161) in view of Jones et al., (US 2003/0033187).
- 8. As per claims 1-2, 35, 41, and 47, Brodersen discloses a computer readable storage medium comprising bearing instructions which when executed by a processor in a computer to cause a the computer to execute (¶ 0028) a method for identifying and optimizing human resources (Abstract, ¶ 0001, 0014-0028 ("invention matches")

Application/Control Number: 10/611,311

Art Unit: 3624

resources, such as employees"]) for use in business projects (¶ 0001-002, 0041 ["needs of the business"]), the method comprising:

enabling a project manager to search a database for specific human resources (¶ 0014) that may be used for participation in projects (Abstract, ¶¶ 0015-0017), the database containing time periods during which each of the human resources is available and amounts of human resource participation for which the human resources can be used for the projects (¶¶ 0014 ["workload...availability"], 0015-0017),

wherein the projects require two or more resources to complete and the projects are comprised of a set of tasks, each individual task among the set of tasks requiring at least one qualifying attribute that qualifies each resource to perform a given task in the project (¶¶ 0015 ["resources"], 0016 ["evaluated...qualified"], 0017-0028);

the searching comprising matching proposed amounts of human resource participation (Abstract, 0001-0013, and 0014-0028 ["matching....resources"]), proposed time periods (0014-0017 ["availability"]), and the at least one qualifying attribute of the human resource with the available time periods, available amounts of human resource participation, and available qualifying attributes stored in the database for each of the projects (¶¶ 0014-0017 ["availability rules"], 0040-0043, 0046, 0060, 0067, 0088, 0096, 0116);

Application/Control Number: 10/611,311

Art Unit: 3624

identifying, based on qualifying attributes necessary to complete each task of each project, available human resources based on the matching (0014-0017 ["availability rules"], 0040-0043, 0046, 0060, 0067, 0088, 0096, 0116).

Although Brodersen discloses ranking tasks (¶ 0042-0046 ["weighing...ranking...tasks"], 0070 ["hierarchical"], 0007, 0121), it does not explicitly disclose determining a priority value for each of the projects by providing priority categories that identify an importance of the projects and receiving a selection of one of the priority categories from a user.

However, Jones discloses determining a priority value for each of the projects by providing priority categories that identify an importance of the projects and receiving a selection of one of the priority categories from a user (¶¶ 0005, 0010, 0037, Table 1, 0075, 0085, 0087, 0089, and claims 2 and 14).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in the system/method of Brodersen determining a priority value for each of the projects by providing priority categories that identify an importance of the projects and receiving a selection of one of the priority categories from a user as taught by Jones since the claimed invention is merely a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,311

Art Unit: 3624

Additionally, it would also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in the assigning of resources to tasks method of Keller determining a priority value for each of the projects by providing priority categories that identify an importance of the projects and receiving a selection of one of the priority categories from a user as taught by Jones, in order to optimally match resource (human resources) with needs and opportunities in a constrained environment (Brodersen: ¶¶ 0009-0014) since doing so could be performed readily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Also, Brodersen discloses presenting a proposed allocation of human resources to the project manager for a project based on the identified available human resources, but Brodersen does not explicitly disclose allocation also based the priority value of the project (Figs. 1-6, ¶¶ 0042-0046, 0065, 0083, 0091-0092, 0094, 0100-0105).

However, Jones discloses presenting a proposed allocation of human resources to the project manager for a project based on the identified available human resources and the priority value of the project (¶¶ 0005, 0010, 0037, Table 1, 0075, 0085, 0087, 0089, and claims 2 and 14).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include in the system/method of Brodersen presenting a proposed allocation of human resources to the project manager for a project based on the identified available human resources and the priority value of the project as taught by Jones since the claimed invention is merely

Art Unit: 3624

a combination of old elements, and in the combination each element merely would have performed the same function as it did separately, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that the results of the combination were predictable.

Additionally, it would also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include in the assigning of resources to tasks method of Brodersen presenting a proposed allocation of human resources to the project manager for a project based on the identified available human resources and the priority value of the project as taught by Jones, in order to optimally match resource (human resources) with needs and opportunities in a constrained environment (Brodersen: ¶¶ 0009-0014) and allow the user to configure tasks and assign resources to the tasks efficiently (Brodersen: ¶¶ 0026-0027, 0041-0044, 0055-0056, 0062, 0067, 0069) since doing so could be performed readily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

- As per claim 2, Brodersen discloses the method and system above in which the
 resources comprise people (disclosed throughout the reference, for example see
 Abstract, ¶ 0001, 0014-0028 ["employees"]).
- 10. As per claim 3, Brodersen discloses the method and system above in which the time periods during which each of the resources is available comprise availability (¶¶ 0014-0017 ["availability rules"], 0040-0043, 0046, 0060, 0067, 0088, 0096, 0116).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,311
Art Unit: 3624

11. As per **claim 4**, Brodersen discloses the method and system above in which the amounts of participation for which the resources can be used comprise utilization (¶¶ 0049, 0014-0028).

- 12. As per claim 6, Brodersen discloses the method and system above also including a selection by a planner of resources to be used for the projects, the selection being made from among the optimized allocation of resources (¶¶ 0041 ["optimization engine"]).
- As per claim 7, Brodersen discloses the method and system above also including communicating with resources about the selection using a groupware program (¶¶ 0128, 0066, 0014-0028, and 0042-0046).
- 14. As per claim 36, Brodersen discloses the method and system above in which the attributes comprise qualifying attributes that define qualifications of the human resources (¶¶ 0014 ["invention matches resources, such as employees"], 0015 ["resources"], 0016 ["evaluated...qualified"], 0017-0028, 0138-0139, 0142, 0154).
- 15. As per claim 37, Brodersen discloses the method and system above in which the attributes comprise capacitive attributes that define time constraints on suitable human resources (¶¶ 0014, 0015-0028).
- As per claim 38, Brodersen discloses the method and system above in which the capacitive attributes include availability or utilization (¶¶ 0014, 0015-0028).
- 17. As per claim 39, Brodersen discloses the method and system above also including enabling the project manager to identify the importance of respective qualifying attributes (¶¶ 0046-0048, 0070, 0007, 0121).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,311 Page 9

Art Unit: 3624

18. As per **claim 40**, Brodersen discloses the method and system above in which the importance can be identified as mandatory or optional for qualification purposes (¶¶ 0042-0048, 0070, 0007, and 0121).

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gurkanwaljit Singh whose telephone number is (571)270-5392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bradley Bayat can be reached on (571)272-6704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/G. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3624 May 26, 2009

/Bradley B Bayat/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624